Attorney Docket No.: Q78750

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c) U.S. Application No.: 10/802,010

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on October 23, 2007:

During the above-noted telephonic interview with the Examiner on October 23, 2007, the Examiner and Applicant's undersigned representative agreed that Conley did not teach such a feature, "without changing flash memory state information written in a previous physical block," where the flash memory state information had been written in a previous physical block. The Examiner also agreed that if claims 1 and 11 were amended to positively recite that written blocks flash memory state information, such an amendment would overcome the current rejection. Accordingly Applicant herein amends claims 1 and 11 consistent with the above-noted agreement reached during the telephonic interview with the Examiner on October 23, 2007.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.